

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
Wheeling**

JASON D. BROWNING,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 5:18-CV-174
Judge Bailey

KAREN PSZCZOLKOWSKI, Warden,
MIKE HILL, Chief of Security,
DEPUTY WARDEN WILLIAM YURCINA,
Deputy Warden, **JOANIE HILL**, A.W.O.,
DAVE ELLIOTT, Job Coordinator,
KELLY STRICKLAND, Kitchen Supervisor,
BRANDY MILLER, A.W.P., **DALE GRIFFITH**,
Unit Manager, **RYAN ADAMS**, Unit Manager,
MIKE TAYLOR, Chaplin, **KIM WILSON**,
Commissary Supervisor, **C.J. RYDER**,
Religious Services, **JIM RUBENSTEIN**,
Commissioner, **COMMISSIONER BETSY**
JIVIDEN, Commissioner, **JENNIFER L. HAYS**,
Hearing Official Officer, **W.V.D.O.C.**, **THOMAS**
McCARTHY, Sgr., **TOBY MATTHEWS**, L.T.,
HEATHER TIMMINS, Guard, **PAM MOORE**,
LPN Nurse, **STACY KNIGHT**, R.N., and
JAMES GRAY, H.S.A. Doctor,

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The above referenced case is before this Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that plaintiff's complaint be dismissed with prejudice. See [Doc. 31].

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C.

§ 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A *de novo* review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation [Doc. 31] is **ADOPTED**, and plaintiff's State Civil Rights Complaint Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [Doc. 1] is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the defendants and to **STRIKE** this case from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and to mail a copy to the *pro se* plaintiff.

DATED: March 1, 2022.


JOHN PRESTON BAILEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE